

Grant County Public Utility District No. 2, Washington

New Issue Summary

Sale Date: Aug. 19, 2020.

Series: Electric System Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2020-R (mandatory put bonds) and Series 2020-S (index bonds).

Purpose: The bonds are being issued to refinance outstanding electric system short-term bonds and pay the costs of issuance.

Security: The electric system bonds are payable from the electric system revenues after payment of distribution system operating expenses, inclusive of Priest Rapids Project (PRP) resource costs.

The 'AA' Issuer Default Rating and bond ratings reflect Grant County Public Utility District No. 2's very strong financial performance and exceptionally robust liquidity. The district benefits from its sizable hydroelectric generating resources, collectively the PRP, which produce very low-cost power in excess of the district's retail needs. The excess capacity and energy is sold in the wholesale market.

The ratings factor in the risks and benefits of surplus energy sold into the wholesale energy market under short- and medium-term contracts and a concentrated industrial customer base. The rating also considers the district's various strategies to mitigate these risks. As tremendous growth occurred in the district's retail system (peak load increased to 813MW in 2019, up 22% from 2013), the financial risk of wholesale sales decreased, both through the electric system's growing use of the excess capacity for retail load and through the district's hedging strategy, which produces strong and consistent financial results.

Key Rating Drivers

Revenue Defensibility: 'a'; Significant Wholesale Sales Supported by Various Contracts; Very Low Retail Rates: A majority of the district's ample hydroelectric generation capacity is used to meet its domestic retail energy needs, with the remainder sold under a mix of take-or-pay contracted sales at cost, market priced auctions, and pooling and slice agreements.

The district manages wholesale contracts and hedging agreements to insulate the district from price and hydrological variability, but the district's margins remain exposed to a level of wholesale sales risk that, combined with the service area characteristics, constrains Fitch Ratings' assessment of revenue defensibility to 'a'. Retail rates are very competitive and rate affordability is considered high.

Operating Risk: 'aa'; Ample, Very Low-Cost Hydro Resources: The operating risk assessment reflects the district's valuable hydropower generation resources that provide exceptionally low-cost electric power, calculated at approximately 3.0 cents/kWh in 2019. Operating costs are not expected to experience upward pressure. The district's capital plans continue to increase as modernization investments are made and the full amount of future capex due to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)-required relicensing is determined.

Financial Profile: 'aa'; Very Strong Financial Profile: The district's financial profile is very strong, with robust liquidity and coverage of full obligations (COFO) consistently above 2.0x. Capital spending and borrowing increased in recent years, and outstanding debt is \$1.2 billion. Leverage nonetheless remains low for the risk profile at around 4.0x and is expected to remain below 6.0x through Fitch's stress scenarios. The district's cash reserves offset outstanding fixed obligations included in Fitch's leverage ratio.

Ratings

Long-Term Issuer Default Rating AA

New Issues

\$50,000,000 Electric System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2020-R	AA
\$50,000,000 Electric System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2020-S	AA

[Outstanding Debt Details on Page 3](#)

Rating Outlook

Stable

Applicable Criteria

[Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria \(March 2020\)](#)

[U.S. Public Power Rating Criteria \(March 2020\)](#)

Related Research

[Public Power - Fitch Analytical Comparative Tool \(FACT\) - 2020 \(June 2020\)](#)

[U.S. Public Power: Peer Review \(June 2020\)](#)

[Fitch Ratings Updates 2020 Sector Outlooks To Reflect Coronavirus Impact \(March 2020\)](#)

Analysts

Kathy Masterson
+1 512 215-3730
kathryn.masterson@fitchratings.com

Parker Montgomery
+1 212 908-0356
parker.montgomery@fitchratings.com

Rating Sensitivities

Factors That Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating

Action/Upgrade:

- While considered unlikely in the current recessionary environment, consistently higher funding in rates to support capex that produces a materially lower leverage profile could result in upward rating movement.

Factors That Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating

Action/Downgrade:

- The district's ability to effectively manage its operations, including the various hedging agreements, will be key to maintaining a strong revenue defensibility assessment.
- Unexpected sizable increases for capital spending or higher borrowing requirements that reduce financial margins and raise leverage consistently above 6.0x.

Coronavirus Considerations

The recent outbreak of the coronavirus and the related government containment measures creates an uncertain environment in the near term. Washington's governor enacted a stay-at-home, stay-healthy order in mid-March and the state is reopening slowly. The district implemented an array of operational and safety precautions at the hydroelectric plants and district facilities. Financial impacts to date at the district have been limited and do not indicate credit impairment. While retail energy sales to date in 2020 are lower than budget, consolidated revenue performance is less affected because reductions to retail energy sales were partially mitigated through higher wholesale energy sales. The district revised its future load forecast downward as a result of lower retail demand and a slower pace of industrial load growth.

The district enacted a moratorium on residential disconnections for nonpayment in March 2020. Residential customers account for only approximately 21% of electric system revenues and the district has not observed any increase in its uncollected receivables. The district is considering the implementation of customer-assistance programs for certain residential customers affected by the effects of the coronavirus, but the district has robust liquidity reserves in place for contingencies. The district did not raise retail rates in 2020 and no increases are planned in 2021.

Credit impacts are limited to date, but material changes in revenue and cost profiles are occurring across the public power sector and may worsen in the coming months as economic activity suffers and government restrictions are potentially maintained or expanded. Fitch's ratings are forward looking, and Fitch will monitor developments related to the severity and duration of the virus outbreak, and incorporate revised expectations for future performance and assessment of key rating drivers.

Credit Profile

The district is a vertically integrated electric utility serving retail customers throughout Grant County, WA, with a retail customer base of approximately 52,200. The district maintains and accounts for two operating systems: the electric distribution system comprising 4,381 miles of transmission and distribution lines, and the generating assets. The Priest Rapids and the Wanapum hydroelectric development generating assets, collectively the PRP, have a nameplate generating capacity of 2,157MW.

The district historically issued separate debt payable from the electric system and each of the two generating projects' net revenues. However, the district consolidated the developments into one system called the PRP in 2010, and now issues PRP debt payable from the combined developments. The PRP hydro developments were combined under a new power sales contract, effective Nov. 1, 2009, that extends through the life of the FERC license expiring April 1, 2052. Under the FERC license, the district's distribution system is entitled to 63.3% of the total physical output of the PRP, and is required to sell 30% of the output within the region, based on market prices.

Rating History (IDR)

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
AA	Affirmed	Stable	8/11/20
AA	Upgraded	Stable	11/11/05
AA-	Affirmed	Positive	1/4/05
AA-	Affirmed	Stable	9/17/04
AA-	Affirmed	RWE	6/8/04
AA-	Assigned		1/29/93

IDR - Issuer Default Rating.
RWE - Rating Watch Evolving.
Source: Fitch Ratings.

Fitch rates the electric distribution system, PRP debt and the remaining amount of debt issued prior to 2010 and secured individually by the hydro developments as a single, integrated system. PRP debt is secured entirely by unconditional payments from the electric distribution system, reduced by any revenues the PRP collects from other wholesale counterparties, making the electric distribution system the ultimate obligor on the PRP bonds. The electric system makes payments to the PRP as an operating expense, therefore prior to payments on the electric system debt. However, if the PRP were to become inoperable or not provide any energy, the electric system payments to the PRP would become subordinate to the electric system bonds.

Revenue Defensibility

A majority of the district’s total operating revenue (65% in 2019) is generated from domestic retail sales Fitch considers exhibiting monopolistic demand characteristics, but a meaningful portion (25% in 2019) is from competitive revenue sources, both wholesale energy sales (22%) and fiber optic network sales (3%). The district continues to gradually build out its fiber optic network availability across the service area at a measured pace, although recent working from home trends increased the take rate – the number of customers opting for service from the district where the infrastructure is available – to 60%. The remainder of district revenues is sales under long-term contracts at cost, or derived from transmission and other miscellaneous sources.

The Distribution System’s Physical Rights to 63.3% of PRP Hedged to Remove Hydrology Risk

The district is entitled to a 63.3% portion of PRP output to provide retail service to the electric distribution system. The district proactively hedged its share of the output against hydrological variability through two short-term hedging agreements. A five-year energy pooling agreement with Shell Energy North America L.P. (SENA) provides SENA with 53.3% of the distribution system’s entitlement to the output from PRP. In exchange, SENA provides firm power sufficient to satisfy 100% of the district’s unmet retail load forecast through the life of the contract expiring September 2020, regardless of the project’s actual energy output. In a low water year, such as occurred in 2019, SENA provided more energy to the district’s retail load than was produced by the 53.3% share of the PRP.

Conversely, the agreement provides the upside financial potential associated with above average water conditions to SENA, which reduced the district’s overall wholesale revenues from levels exhibited prior to 2015 when the contract began. A second hedging agreement for the remaining 10% slice of the district’s PRP output is hedged under an agreement with Avangrid Renewables, LLC through December 2021.

The hedging strategy effectively limits the district’s hydrological risk and protects revenue stability, although the district has increased counterparty risk. The district and SENA both have collateral posting requirements if their ratings fall below investment grade, and the collateral posting is based on 12-month forward-looking rolling mark-to-market, not balance of contract. The district negotiated a similar pooling agreement for 33.3% of the PRP to take effect once the SENA contract expires for another five years. Management expects the remaining 20% to be replaced with agreements similar to that with Avangrid as part of a proposal process initiated in April. Fitch expects the district to continue pursuing its hedging strategy using pooling agreements and slice sales similar to the SENA and Avangrid agreements to mitigate water volume and market price risk on a rolling basis.

Rights to Remaining 36.7% of PRP sold through Take-or-Pay Power Sales Contracts

The competitive portion of the district’s consolidated revenues is generated by the district’s excess generating resources relative to its domestic retail energy needs and the FERC license requirements to sell 6.7% of the PRP at cost and 30% at market-based prices. The FERC license requirement stems from federal legislation adopted in 1954 – Public Law 83-544 – requiring the district to sell a FERC-established reasonable portion (30%) of the region’s PRP energy output under market rates. An annual auction is held to sell at least 3% of PRP output on a slice basis that establishes the price for the full 30% reasonable portion.

This 36.7% of PRP output is sold to 17 power purchasers under take-or-pay contracts expiring in 2052 that require the purchasers to pay their proportionate share of all costs of the PRP,

Outstanding Debt

(Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Development) Revenue and Refunding Bonds	AA
(Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Project) Revenue Refunding Bonds	AA
(Wanapum Hydroelectric Development) Revenue and Refunding Bonds	AA
Electric System Revenue Refunding Bonds	AA

Source: Fitch Ratings.

regardless of the revenues produced from the reasonable portion auction or whether or not the PRP is producing power. While these payments are contracted to cover, at a minimum, operating expenses and debt service, there is volatility in the level of sales. The 6.7% portion is a physical share of the asset at cost.

The other 30% is priced at market rates, as the district’s retail load grows, retail load can be served from this 30% reasonable portion, or specifically, the financial benefits from these market sales can be used by the district to purchase energy for retail needs. This ability allows the district to access PRP energy at cost-based rates from this 30% portion. If district load declines, this share of the 30% being used by the district is purchased by the power purchasers at cost. The district’s significant growth in new industrial customers is served from this share given the very low cost of the energy.

The table below shows the district’s share of the overall PRP output increased to 82.6% in 2019, up from approximately 60% in 2010. At the pace the district’s retail load is growing, management expects the district may consume the financial benefits related to the full reasonable portion within the next five years. However, 3% would still be required to be sold at auction to establish the value of the financial benefit.

Priest Rapids Project – Percentage Purchases of Project Output

(%)	2010	2013	2016	2019
Grant PUD Electric System	59.50	61.32	73.43	82.58
PacifiCorp Electric Operations	10.09	9.76	6.50	4.01
Portland General Electric	10.09	9.76	6.50	4.01
Puget Sound Energy, Inc.	5.81	5.63	3.75	2.31
Avista Corporation	4.43	2.19	2.86	1.76
Eugene Water and Electric Board	1.16	1.09	0.71	0.45
Seattle City Light	2.32	4.29	1.42	0.89
Tacoma Power	2.34	2.18	1.44	0.91
Cowlitz County PUD No. 1	1.75	1.28	0.84	0.52
Other Power Purchasers ^a	2.51	2.50	2.55	2.56
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^aCity of Forest Grove, City of McMinnville, City of Milton-Freewater, Kittias County PUD, Snake River Power, Clearwater Power, Idaho County Light, Kootenai Electric Cooperative and Northern Lights.

PUD – Public Utility District.

Source: Grant County Public Utility District No. 2, Washington.

Mixed Service Area Characteristics

Grant County encompasses a sizable 2,681 square miles along the Columbia River in central Washington. The county’s economy is historically agricultural based. However, a relatively recent influx of tech companies (data centers) and energy-intensive industrial manufacturing firms (chemical and aluminum processors) helped diversify the economy and bring additional jobs into the area. Very low retail power costs create a strong environment for industrial growth.

Favorable demand characteristics, including retail customer growth of 1.9% per year over the prior five years and retail electric load growth near 4% per year, are strengths of the district’s service area. Fitch expects this to continue in the medium term as the district expects continued strong load growth approximating 24% over the next five years, driven primarily by industrial growth. It is unknown how the ongoing coronavirus pandemic may affect the future growth plans of existing and potential industrial customers. However, a number of the new entrants in the region are data centers. The district continues to actively recruit data centers to its service area given its very low cost of power.

Other service area characteristics in the county, such as economic and demographic characteristics, are not as strong. Median household income and unemployment still reflect the agricultural base of the job market, with midrange income levels at 91% of the national average and weaker unemployment at 186% of the national level in 2019.

Very Low Retail Rates

The district is governed by an elected five-member board of commissioners, serving four- and six-year staggered terms. The board of commissioners has the exclusive authority to set rates and charges for the district's services. No outside regulatory approval is required.

The exceptionally low cost of power from PRP allows the system to keep retail electric rates similarly exceptionally low, with retail rates among the lowest in the country. The district average retail revenue/kWh as reported by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in 2018 was well below the state average, at 51% of the average. Rate affordability is very high, as measured by average annual residential energy costs in relation to median household income, with an affordability ratio of 2.1%. The district increased retail rates by a modest 2% annually between 2014 and 2018. Rates were not increased in 2019 and 2020, and no increases are anticipated over the next four years.

Asymmetric Rating Factor Consideration – Customer Concentration

The district's retail sales are concentrated with several large industrial customers. The 10 largest retail customers accounted for 38% of retail revenue in 2019 and the two largest accounted for 22% of electric system revenues. However, these revenues are a smaller portion of the district's overall combined operating revenues, including wholesale. The largest customers are somewhat diversified in agriculture and manufacturing, but also include several large data centers. Fitch does not constrain the revenue defensibility assessment as a result of this concentration, largely due to the district's below-market cost of power, which provides the option to readily sell excess power into the wholesale markets and reduces the potential financial impact from the departure of a large customer. Industrial rates are below market pricing.

Operating Risk

One of the district's key credit strengths is the PRP's exceptionally low-cost hydropower production. Fitch calculates a very low operating cost burden for the district at or below 3 cents/kWh over the past five years, anchoring the 'aa' operating risk assessment. The district calculated the PRP power production at a combined average cost of \$18.60/MWh in 2018 and \$22.80/MWh in 2019. The higher cost in 2019 reflected the below average water years; costs remain relatively consistent from year to year, but lower water results in lower energy production and a higher per-MWh cost. Even in low water conditions, PRP's power cost continues to compare favorably with the region's largest power supplier, Bonneville Power Administration, which provided wholesale power to preference customers an average cost of \$35.6/MWh in 2019.

Operating Cost Flexibility

Fitch assesses the district's operating cost flexibility as weaker due to the lack of resource diversity outside hydropower and susceptibility to variable water conditions. However, this risk is largely offset by the benefits of inexpensive power and the more than ample resources. The weaker assessment does not constrain the operating risk assessment from 'aa'. The distribution system is entitled to physical rights to 63.3% of the PRP's physical output and financial rights up to an additional 30% of the PRP's output available for purchase by the electric distribution system to meet its estimated load requirements, which is sufficient to meet its current and anticipated retail customer load through 2028.

PRP's operational flexibility provides significant additional value beyond the low energy cost of the power produced; value monetized both in the pooling and slice contracts the district uses for its physical share of the project and in the auction prices realized for the 30% share. Fitch expects the cost of the project's hydropower to remain competitive despite large capital needs for turbine replacement, licensing and powerhouse improvements.

Environmental Considerations

Under the state's renewable portfolio standards (RPS), utilities serving 25,000 customers or more, such as the district, are required to obtain 15% of their load from renewable resources by 2020. Eligible resources include incremental hydro, wind, solar or renewable energy credits (RECs). The district's existing resources are sufficient to meet compliance for 2020, as provided from incremental PRP hydro generation and its share of the Nine Canyon Wind

Project. Under the pooling agreement with SENA, the district is allowed to take the environmental attributes in an amount sufficient to meet RPS requirements, with the remaining RECs going to SENA. Management expects the replacement contract to have similar terms. The district's RPS requirements increase as its retail load grows, and management estimates a minimal level of qualifying REC purchases may be required beginning in the mid-2020s.

Washington also passed the Clean Energy Transformation Act in 2019, which requires the state to achieve a power supply free of carbon emissions by 2045. The district is well positioned given its carbon-free power supply. Once the PRP is no longer sufficient to meet the full retail load, the district anticipates using solar or other noncarbon resources.

Capital Planning and Management

Fitch calculates the average age of plant at 15 years, and capital management appears adequate. Capex to depreciation favorably totaled nearly twice depreciation over the last five years, related to both its generating assets and its distribution system. The 2021–2025 capital improvement plan (CIP) totals \$739 million, with a majority dedicated to PRP investments and the remainder going toward the electric distribution system. The CIP will be funded at an estimated 25% from additional debt, with the remaining amounts funded from ongoing revenues.

Generating system replacement and investment is sizable and ongoing. Work completed to date includes the full replacement of all turbines and generators at Wanapum. All 10 turbines were replaced by 2013 and the district completed the process of replacing and upgrading all 10 generators in 2020. The incremental additional capacity gained through such investment is considered renewable under Washington's RPS. The new turbine design also satisfies FERC license requirements to provide greater protection of healthy fish passage through the dams. A similar investment at Priest Rapids Dam that includes replacing the remaining turbines and generator upgrades is ongoing, with estimated costs of \$307.4 million, including labor, for 2019–2028. The electric distribution system investments include substation work and the completion of its advanced metering rollout in 2019.

Seismic and Other Remediation

Both the Wanapum and Priest Rapids Dams need work to remedy potential exposure to seismic risk. Only the Priest Rapids Dam is being modified, at an estimated cost of just under \$50 million. The Wanapum Dam potentially needs remediation and is the subject of an engineering analysis in progress. The analysis is scheduled to be complete by early 2022. A fracture discovered in the Wanapum Dam in 2014 was repaired for roughly \$62 million, with a portion covered by insurance. The dam was back to full operation in 2015.

Financial Profile

The district's consolidated financial profile is very strong. Fitch-calculated COFO was consistently over 2.0x over the past five years, including 2019, which included below average water conditions to the region, which is a stress scenario for many electric utilities in the northwest. The district insulated its financial performance from hydrology risk through the terms of the power supply contracts for the reasonable portion of the PRP project and the slice and pooling arrangements in place for the district's physical share. The operating margin in 2019 continued to be strong at over 30% in 2019, as it had been the four years prior.

Liquidity is robust, with unrestricted cash balances above \$245 million in 2018 and 2019, which amounts to over 600 days cash on hand given the district's relatively low operating expenses. Fitch's calculation of unrestricted cash balances includes the electric system reserve and contingency fund, which totaled \$128.8 million at the end of 2019. Cash is well above the district's targets of \$105 million and 250 days cash on hand for the electric system. Approximately \$28 million in reserves were used in January 2020 to defease debt.

The district's leverage profile is considered very low, given its revenue defensibility and operating risk assessments, and remained relatively consistent over the past four years between 4.4x and 5.8x. Fitch's net leverage calculation includes cash balances in bond sinking funds and debt service reserve funds. The district has sizable balances in these funds — \$218 million at the end of 2019 — which helps offset fixed obligations, including outstanding debt and pension obligations.

Fitch Analytical Stress Test (FAST)

Fitch's analysis considered the district's financial forecast as a starting point for the FAST. The district's forecast assumes continued strong growth in retail energy sales. Despite following 1Q20 results, the load forecast was revised downward from prior levels to include a slight decline in retail sales in 2020 and 5% growth in subsequent years. The forecast assumes no retail rate increases and consolidated capex of \$739 million over the next five years, funded 25% from debt proceeds. The forecast includes wholesale revenues in line with prior years because the district reduced its financial exposure to market price and hydrology fluctuations.

In Fitch's standard base case FAST, key financial ratios remain supportive of the rating. Leverage remains consistently around 4.0x, and COFO and liquidity closely track 2019, with a potential slight decline in liquidity but continued at robust levels. Fitch's stress case assumes 7.0% decline in retail sales in year one and further 3.9% decrease in year two before resuming growth and recovery in the remaining three years. In this stress scenario, leverage remains solidly below 6.0x, and COFO and liquidity remain supportive of the rating.

Fitch also considered a coronavirus-sensitized downside case in our analysis that modeled a 9% decline in retail sales in year one, with a recovery occurring over the following four years. While this demand decline is well above what the district is experiencing, the downside case is designed to evaluate the potential credit impact of major setbacks in containing the virus and the possibility of the extension of re-imposition of societal lockdowns. Key ratios in this downside scenario appear similar to the stress case results noted above and all key ratios remain supportive of the rating.

Debt Profile

The district has total consolidated debt outstanding of approximately \$1.2 billion. The majority of debt is outstanding for the PRP system. PRP revenue bonds issued in 2010 and later account for \$787.6 million of total debt, and Wanapum Development and Priest Rapids Development debt specific to each project, issued prior to 2010, account for a total of \$128.4 million in remaining debt. No additional debt will be issued on a project-specific basis. All debt related to the generation projects is paid as an operating expense of the electric system, or from proceeds under the power supply contracts with 17 power purchasers. The purchasers are obligated to pay the full cost of project, including 115% of the debt service each year.

Remaining debt consists of senior and subordinate debt secured by electric system revenues, including the new series 2020-R and series 2020-S bonds that will refund outstanding debt in like amounts. Following the refunding, the district will only have \$50 million in subordinate-lien electric system debt. The majority of the district's consolidated debt is fixed rate, except for approximately \$150 million.

ESG Considerations

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) credit relevance is a score of '3' – ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or to the way in which they are being managed by the entity.

For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

Financial Summary – Grant County Public Utility District No. 2, Washington

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(\$000, Audited Fiscal Years Ended Dec. 31)					
Net Adjusted Debt to Adjusted FADS (x)	6.67	5.38	5.78	4.63	4.39
Net Adjusted Debt Calculation					
Total Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt	30,915	32,075	31,635	29,240	80,580
Total Long-Term Debt	1,321,778	1,333,458	1,340,124	1,306,064	1,221,069
Total Debt	1,352,693	1,365,533	1,371,759	1,335,304	1,301,649
+ Capitalized Fixed Charge – Purchased Power	66,322	0	–	–	–
+ Total Pension Obligation (GASB Fitch-Adjusted NPL + FASB PBO)	136,535	102,918	113,574	95,006	89,923
- Total Unrestricted Cash	199,550	281,128	209,984	280,057	245,465
- Restricted Funds for Debt Service	173,372	189,440	192,106	205,085	218,802
Adjusted FADS for Leverage Calculation					
Total Operating Revenue	313,169	286,316	293,909	311,270	321,174
Total Operating Expenses	217,077	187,574	198,812	202,707	220,344
Operating Income	96,092	98,742	95,097	108,563	100,830
+ Adjustment for Deferred and Subsidy Revenue	8,214	10,545	10,556	10,552	10,545
+ D&A	56,985	61,956	66,206	73,234	76,050
+ Interest Income	4,600	10,008	12,833	11,391	22,324
FADS	165,891	181,251	184,692	203,740	209,749
+ Adjustment for Purchased Power	8,290	0	–	–	–
+ Pension Expense	2,993	4,325	2,850	423	1,339
Coverage of Full Obligations (x)	2.08	2.13	2.02	2.34	2.35
FADS	165,891	181,251	184,692	203,740	209,749
+ Adjustment for Purchased Power	8,290	0	–	–	–
Full Obligations Calculation					
Cash Interest Paid	45,819	54,276	59,349	55,503	60,069
Prior-Year Current Maturities	29,470	30,915	32,075	31,635	29,240
Total Annual Debt Service	75,289	85,191	91,424	87,138	89,309
+ Adjustment for Purchased Power	8,290	0	–	–	–
Liquidity Cushion (Days)	455	817	578	790	621
Unrestricted Cash (Days)	455	817	578	790	621
Liquidity Calculation					
+ Total Unrestricted Cash	199,550	281,128	209,984	280,057	245,465
Cash Operating Expense Calculation					
Total Operating Expense	217,077	187,574	198,812	202,707	220,344
- D&A	56,985	61,956	66,206	73,234	76,050
Cash Operating Expenses	160,092	125,618	132,606	129,473	144,294

FADS – Funds available for debt service. PBO – Pension benefit obligation. D&A – Depreciation and amortization.
Source: Fitch Ratings; Fitch Solutions; Lumesis; EIA; Grant County Public Utility District No. 2, Washington.

Key Definitions

Terms	Definition	Significance
Issuer Default Rating (IDR)	An expression of overall enterprise risk and relative vulnerability to default.	Provides an opinion of the relative ability of an entity to meet financial commitments, expressed as an ordinal measure of credit risk.
Net Adjusted Debt	Adjusted debt - unrestricted cash - funds restricted for debt service	Provides an inclusive evaluation of long-term liabilities offset by funds available for debt service.
Adjusted FADS	EBITDA + interest income + 30% of purchase power expense + operating lease expense - transfers/distributions + pension expense	Provides an indication of cash flow available for the payment of debt service, adjusting for purchased power, operating lease and pension obligations.
Net Adjusted Debt to Adjusted FADS	Net adjusted debt/adjusted FADS	Provides an indication of net total leverage position against available operating cash flow.
Full Obligations	Cash interest paid + scheduled long-term principal payments + 30% of purchase power expense	Provides an indication of inclusive fixed and debt service obligations.
Coverage of Full Obligations	(EBITDA + interest income + 30% of purchase power expense + operating lease expense - transfers/distributions)/full obligations	Provides an indication of the relative cushion of operating cash flow to fixed charges.
Base Case	The expected forward-looking case in the current macroeconomic environment.	Provides the analytical starting point in the forward-looking analysis, and also informs the rating case.
Rating Case	The potential performance under a common set of assumptions.	Illustrates how cycles affect individual issuers differently, and informs the level of rating stability and credit resiliency.

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.